Buckhorn Mountain Project

Mill Site/TDF Plant Survey and Habitat Report

PREPARED FOR:

CROWN RESOURCES CORPORATION

624 CENTRAL AVE.
OROVILLE, WASHINGTON 98844

PREPARED BY:

GRETTE ASSOCIATES^{LLC}
2111 NORTH 30TH
TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98403

151 SOUTH WORTHEN, SUITE 101 WENATCHEE, WASHINGTON 98801

SEPTEMBER 27, 2003



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Execut	Executive Summary			
2	Backg	ackground			
3	Metho 3.1 3.2	•			
4	Result: 4.1	Plant 3 4.1.1 4.1.2 4.1.3 Wetla 4.2.1	Surveys Vegetation Survey Results Walk Through Survey Results 4.1.2.1 Grazed Patureland 4.1.2.2 East Side of Dry Gulch 4.1.2.3 West Side of Dry Gulch Focused Survey Results 4.1.3.1 Settling Basin Area 4.1.3.2 Reclaim Pond Area nds Reconnaissance and Wildlife Habitat Observations Wetlands Reconnaissance		
5	DISCU	4.2.2 JSSION	Wildlife Habitat Observations		
6		REFERENCES CITED12			
			TABLES		
Tal Tal Tal Tal Tal	ble 2. F ble 3. A ble 4. A ble 5 A ble 6. U	Plant sp Addition Addition dditions JSFWS	ecies identified in transect surveys ecies observed in grazed pastureland nal plant species observed in east Dry Gulch survey nal plant species observed in west Dry Gulch Survey al plant species observed in settling basin area S Plant indicator status categories e species observed at TDF and mill site		

1 Executive Summary

Crown Resources Corporation proposes to develop an underground gold mine on Buckhorn Mountain in the Myers Creek mining district, Okanogan County, in north-central Washington. An ore milling facility and a tailings disposal facility (TDF) are proposed to be located on private land two miles south of the town of Chesaw. Details of the proposed mill and TDF can be found in the *Initial Plan of Operations*, *Buckhorn Mountain Project* (2003) prepared by Crown Resources.

In order to facilitate project planning and the permit process, Crown Resources hired Grette Associates to conduct a site survey to collect vegetation information, look for endangered or threatened plant species and investigate whether the site is important or critical habitat for threatened or endangered wildlife species.

A two-tiered plant survey method was employed, the first using vegetation plots along transects and the second, broader survey using walk-through methods. Locations of interest were identified for focused surveys.

A wetlands reconnaissance was conducted to survey for the presence of jurisdictional wetlands. Wildlife habitat features were also identified.

No endangered, threatened or candidate plant species were identified in the surveys. Vegetation was typical of agricultural use in the Okanogan highlands and is common habitat throughout the Okanogan Basin. No wetlands or surface water features were identified. Furthermore, no critical wildlife, habitat or priority habitat types were found on the property.

A plant list, photographs and supporting data sheets are presented in an attached Appendix.

2 Background

Crown Resources Corporation (Crown Resources) proposes to develop an underground gold mine on Buckhorn Mountain in the Myers Creek mining district, Okanogan County, in north-central Washington. Crown proposes to develop the deposit as an underground gold mine on Buckhorn Mt. approximately 3.5 air miles east of Chesaw, with a satellite milling facility two miles south of Chesaw. Most of the project will be developed on private land, with some facility components and access roads on public lands, including lands administered by the U.S. Forest Service (USFS) Okanogan National Forest (Tonasket Ranger District) and the State of Washington.

The milling facility (mill) and a tailings disposal facility (TDF) are proposed to be located on private land two miles south of the town of Chesaw (Figure 1). The mill site is located in the Myers Creek drainage southwest of Buckhorn Mt. Land use on the site had been dominantly livestock grazing. The proposed milling facility consists of an ore stockpile, backfill stockpile, freshwater storage pond, mill, warehouse, shops, and administration building. The TDF consists of a tailings impoundment, reclaim pond, and access roads. Details of the proposed mill and TDF can be found in the *Initial Plan of Operations, Buckhorn Mountain Project* (2003) prepared by Crown Resources.

The mill/TDF site covers approximately 90 acres of rangeland, with small patches of coniferous and mixed forest. Most of the site is relatively flat, and dominated by herbaceous plant species. The forested portions are below the slope break in the Dry Gulch portion of the site. The soils on the site are glacial gravels. In order to facilitate project planning and the permit process, Crown retained Grette Associates to conduct a site survey to collect vegetation information, look for endangered or threatened wildlife and plant species and investigate whether the site is important or critical habitat for threatened or endangered wildlife species. Wildlife habitat features were identified and recorded and a wetlands reconnaissance was conducted.

3 Methods

3.1 Plant Surveys

Surveys were conducted in compliance with *Guidelines for Conducting and Reporting Botanical Inventories for Federally Listed, Proposed and Candidate Plants* (USFWS 1996). In order to conduct efficient vegetation surveys on the proposed mill and TDF site, a two-tiered survey method was employed. The first survey method was conducted using a 0.25-meter quadrat placed every 100 feet on two transects, each bisecting the herbaceous communities on the south portion of the site (Figure 2). At each quadrat all species present were identified, and approximate ground cover recorded.

The second survey method involved "walk through" surveys where each species was recorded as encountered. This method was employed in the gulch portions of the site, where the vegetative communities were more diverse.

Also, locations of interest were identified where either proposed facility placement or existing site conditions dictated a more focused survey, i.e. a sheltered copse of trees would create microclimatic conditions that could increase plant species diversity in the immediate vicinity. These locations were investigated for species of interest.

3.2 Wetlands and Habitat Reconnaissance

The proposed mill/TDF site has no evidence of past surface water drainage and no previously documented wetlands. In order to verify the presence or absence of wetlands on the site, several locations were examined for wetland vegetation, soils and hydrology characteristics. A wetland field form was completed in these locations, using methods consistent with the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation methods manual and the Washington State Department of Ecology manual. During these and all field investigations wildlife habitat features, including snags, burrows and nests were identified and recorded.

4 Results

4.1 Plant Surveys

The list of Rare Plants in Okanogan County from the Washington State Department of Natural Resources (DNR) Washington Natural Heritage Program (WNHP) was consulted for state and federal status of rare plants in Okanogan County (WNHP 2003). Flora of the Pacific Northwest (Hitchcock and Cronquist 1973) and Plants of Southern Interior British Columbia (Parish et. al. 1996) were used to confirm plant species and scientific names.

No State or Federally listed Endangered, Threatened or Candidate plant species were identified. Many of the listed species are associated with wetlands, talus, and native grassland, while the mill/TDF site is upland with both grazing pressure and evidence of timber harvest activities.

4.1.1 Vegetation Survey Results

Twenty plots were surveyed over two transects to identify and characterize the herbaceous plant community that occupies the southern half of the site (Table 1). Eighteen species were identified within the quadrats, though most of the area was dominated by Bluebunch wheatgrass (*Agropyron spicatum*) and Columbia brome (*Bromus vulgaris*) with cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*) and redtop (*Agrostris alba*) providing secondary grass coverage. Several other herbaceous species were common, including field pussytoes (*Antennaria microphylla*) and yarrow (*Achillea millefolium*). While these species were found in several plots they did not represent a large portion of any individual plot.

TABLE 1. PLANT SPECIES IDENTIFIED IN TRANSECT SURVEYS.

Transect 1				Transect 1 (Continued)		
Survey Plot	Species and Common Name	Percent Cover*	Survey Plot	Species	Percent Cover*	
1	Agropyron spicatum - Bluebunch wheatgrass	100	12	B. vulgaris - Columbia brome	65	
21	A. spicatum - Bluebunch wheatgrass	25		A. neglecta - Field pussytoes	10	
	Antennaria neglecta - Field pussytoes	5		A. millefolium - Yarrow	10	
	Festuca occidentalis - Western fescue	10	13	A.spicatum - Bluebunch wheatgrass	40	
3	Bryophytes	40		Verbascum thapsis - Great mullien	10	
	A. spicatum - Bluebunch wheatgrass	40		Agropyron repens - Quackgrass	10	
	Agrostis alba - Redtop	10		A. millefolium - Yarrow	10	
4	A. spicatum - Bluebunch wheatgrass	50	14	B. vulgaris - Columbia brome	65	
	A. alba - Redtop	5		Transect 2		
	Achillea millefolium - Yarrow	10				
	A. neglecta - Field pussytoes	15	Survey Plot	Species	Percent Cover	
5	A. spicatum - Bluebunch wheatgrass	25	1	A. spicatum - Bluebunch wheatgrass	60	
	A. neglecta - Field pussytoes	15		A. alba - Redtop	<5	
	A. alba - Redtop	15		Solidago spathulata - Spikelet goldenrod	<5	
	Lepidium densiflorum - Prairie pepper-grass	<5	_ 2	A. spicatum - Bluebunch wheatgrass	50	
6	Bromus vulgaris - Columbia brome	100		A. repens - Quackgrass	10	
7	Bryophytes	20	3	A. spicatum - Bluebunch wheatgrass	25	
	A. spicatum - Bluebunch wheatgrass	55	_	Calamagrostis canadensis - Bluejoint	<5	
8	A. millefolium - Yarrow	10		A. neglecta - Field pussytoes	<5	
	Thlaspi arvense - Field pennycress	5		Bryophytes	<5	
	A. spicatum - Bluebunch wheatgrass	15	4	Koeleria macrantha - Junegrass	10	
	A. alba - Redtop	<5		A. spicatum - Bluebunch wheatgrass	20	
	A. neglecta - Field pussytoes	<5		Campanula rotundifolia - Common harebell	10	
9	B. vulgaris - Columbia brome	40	_ 5	Bromus tectorum - Cheatgrass	30	
10	B. vulgaris - Columbia brome	50		K. macrantha - Junegrass	15	
	A. alba - Redtop	10	6	B. vulgaris - Columbia brome	35	
11	B. vulgaris - Columbia brome	30		A. millefolium - Yarrow	<5	
	A. millefolium - Yarrow	10		Plantago patagonica - Indian wheat	<5	
	A. neglecta - Field pussytoes	<5				

^{*}Percent cover is measured as crown cover, not basal area.

1 Note cover in each quadrat does not often reach 100 percent. Bare or disturbed ground was common, due to grazing and vegetative density.

4.1.2 Walk Through Survey Results

4.1.2.1 Grazed Patureland

Several herbaceous species were observed during the walk-through survey of the southern site portion that were not represented in the plots themselves (Table 2). These were usually individual plants of species that are present elsewhere in the site, typically in the Dry Gulch.

TABLE 2. PLANT SPECIES OBSERVED IN GRAZED PASTURELAND.

Transect 1			
Centaurea maculosa	Spotted knapweed		
Centaurea diffusa	Diffuse knapweed		
Cirsium vulgare	Bull thistle		
Hypericum perforatum	Common St. John's-wort		
Lupinus sericeus	Silky lupine		
Pinus ponderosa	Ponderosa pine		
Rumex acetosella	Fragile sour weed		
Thlaspi arvense	Field pennycress		
Tragopogon dubius	Yellow salsify		
Transect 2			
Koeleria macrantha	Junegrass		
Stipa spp.*	Needle-and-thread grass		
Symphoricarpos albas	Common snowberry		

^{*}Due to the conditions in the grazed pastureland, this grass was identified to genus

4.1.2.2 East Side of Dry Gulch

Surveys conducted in the East portion of Dry Gulch were conducted to cover the sideslopes and bottom of the gulch and include forested, shrub and open grassy areas (Figure 2). Grazing is evident over the entire area, as is evidence of past timber harvest (stumps). Coarse woody debris is abundant on the ground, especially in the lowest portion of the gulch. Variations in moisture, aspect, wind and solar exposure and soils within this survey area can be expected to support a diverse vegetative community. Many of the species observed in this area, however, had already been identified during the quadrat surveys and walk through. Additional species observed in this area (Table 3) included non-grass forbes, shrubs and shade tolerant grasses.

TABLE 3. ADDITIONAL PLANT SPECIES OBSERVED IN EAST DRY GULCH SURVEY.

Sisymbrium altissimum

Bromus anomalus

Calamagrostris rubescens

Poa juncifolia

Tall tumble-mustard

Nodding brome

Pinegrass

Alkali bluegrass

Erigonum heracleoides Parsnip-flowered buckwheat

Arnica chamissonisMeadow arnicaAquilegia formosaRed columbineSolidago canadensisCanada goldenrodEpilobium ciliatumPurple-leaved willowherb

Rosa woodsii Prairie rose

Taraxacum officinale Common dandelion
Fragaria virginiana Wild strawberry

Galium triflorumSweet-scented bedstrawGalium borealeNorthern bedstrawGeranium viscosissimumSticky geranium

Smilacina stellata Star-flowered false Solomon's-seal

Larix occidentalisWestern larchPicea engelmanniiEngelmann sprucePseudotsuga menziesiiDouglas-fir

Pseudolsuga menziesii Douglas-iii
Populus tremuloides Quaking aspen
Mahonia aquifolium Tall Oregon-grape
Viola canadensis Canada violet
Potentilla anserina Silverweed

4.1.2.3 West Side of Dry Gulch

Surveys conducted in the West portion of Dry Gulch were conducted to cover the north and east facing sideslopes and bottom of the gulch. This area includes a small slide on the east-facing slope located approximately where the top of the TDF dam embankment is proposed (Figure 2). The plant community in this area is similar to the East Gulch. Additional species observed in this area (Table 4) included non-grass forbes, shrubs and shade tolerant grasses.

TABLE 4. ADDITIONAL PLANT SPECIES OBSERVED IN WEST DRY GULCH SURVEY.

Antennaria microphyllaRed pussytoesTrifolium repensWhite cloverGrindelia squarrosaCurly-cup GumweedBromus briziformisRattlesnake bromeCastilleja hispidaHarsh indian paintbrushCynoglossum officinaleCommon hound's-tonguePhleum pratenseTimothy

4.1.3 Focused Survey Results

4.1.3.1 Settling Basin Area

A survey was conducted in the small draw on the northeast corner of the site where a settling basin is proposed in the *Initial Plan of Operations* (Crown Resources, 2003). The draw was entered from the south with all species present recorded. This site has a larch, Douglas fir and Ponderosa pine canopy with a dense shrub layer of woods rose and snowberry on the habitat edge. Other shrub species and herbaceous plants are scattered in the understory. Species observed in this area that had not been previously observed on the site overall are included in Table 5.

TABLE 5 ADDITIONAL PLANT SPECIES OBSERVED IN SETTLING BASIN AREA

Arnica fulgens	Orange arnica
Aster foliaceus	Leafy aster
Chrysothanmus viscidiflorus	Rabbit-brush
Gilia aggregata	Scarlet gilia
Ribes cereum	Squaw currant

4.1.3.2 Reclaim Pond Area

The reclaim pond area (Figure 2) is located on a perched level area in the northwest corner of the site. Vegetation in the area consists of a canopy dominated by quaking aspen, with scattered Engelmann spruce and Douglas fir, over dense coarse woody debris (primarily downed aspen). The shrubs present are predominantly snowberry, prairie rose, and squaw currant. Only one species was observed here that had not previously been identified onsite, *Erigeron subtrinervis*, the triple-nerved daisy.

4.2 Wetlands Reconnaissance and Wildlife Habitat Observations

The TDF and mill site have been studied for construction feasibility and suitability as a containment facility (Crown Resources, 2003). During the course of these investigations, no wetlands have been identified. Wildlife use of the site is currently limited, due to grazing activities and the resulting compromised wildlife habitat. Some habitat features remain and are discussed below.

4.2.1 Wetlands Reconnaissance

In order to survey for the presence of wetlands, a reconnaissance of the site was conducted, with emphasis on the location of the proposed reclaim pond. The site overall does not exhibit a wetland vegetation community: rather the dominant tree, shrub and herb species are more characteristic of dry or upland site conditions. Plant species throughout most of the United States have been evaluated by the National Wetlands Inventory (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service) for the likelihood that the presence of a plant community dominated by that species would be a wetland. An "indicator status" is assigned to each species, ranging as illustrated in Table 6.

TABLE 6. USFWS PLANT INDICATOR STATUS CATEGORIES

Plant Indicator Status Category	Indicator Status Abbreviation	Definition (Probability of Occurrence)	
Obligate Upland	UPL	Occur rarely in wetlands (less than 1 percent) and almost always in uplands (greater than 99 percent)	
Facultative Upland	FACU	Sometimes occur in wetlands (1 to <33 percent) and almost always in uplands (67 to 99 percent)	
Facultative	FAC	Equal likelihood in uplands and wetlands (34 to 66 percent)	
Facultative Wetland	FACW	Usually occur in wetlands (67 to 99 percent), less likely in uplands (1 to 33 percent)	
Obligate Wetland	OBL	Occur rarely in uplands (less than 1 percent) and almost always in wetlands (greater than 99 percent)	
Not Listed	NL	Not listed due to insufficient information to determine status	

The dominant tree species at the mill/TDF site is ponderosa pine, which has a facultative upland indicator status. The other dominant tree species on the site include Engelmann spruce (FAC), Douglas fir (FACU), western larch (FACU) and quaking aspen (FAC).

The predominant shrub species at the site is snowberry (FACU), followed by tall Oregon grape, which has no indicator status for the Pacific Northwest (NL). The only region where tall Oregon grape has an indicator status is California (FACU).

Herbaceous species that dominate the vegetative community in the quadrat area include Bluebunch wheatgrass (UPL), Columbia brome (UPL) and Cheatgrass (UPL). Other common herbaceous species on-site include spotted knapweed (NL), field pussytoes (NL), bull thistle (FACU), common harebell (FACU) and Common St. John's Wort (NL)

No hydric vegetative communities are present on the site. The only area where facultative vegetation dominates the tree canopy is in the reclaim pond area. In order to confirm the absence of wetlands in that location a wetlands determination was made using the 1997 *Washington State Wetlands Identification and Delineation Manual* (Ecology 1997). This manual is a revised version of the Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual (Corps 1987). A vegetation plot was measured, soil pit excavated and a wetlands determination worksheet was completed (Appendix).

It was determined through the state method that the reclaim pond area did not meet any of the parameters for a jurisdictional wetland.

4.2.2 Wildlife Habitat Observations

The mill/TDF site location, as described, is best described as agricultural habitat and interior mixed coniferous forest. Western larch, Douglas fir, Engelmann spruce are all common trees in the northwest interior at these elevations. The grazing disturbance likely prevents natural forest succession from occurring, though some young trees were observed near the slope break at the top of Dry Gulch. Agricultural habitat mixed with forest

patches in areas of topographic relief is common throughout the Okanogan basin, in both Canada and the United States.

A Biological Assessment was prepared for the area of the Crown Jewel mine site and transportation corridor (Cedar Creek 1996) as required under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973. The BA determined effects for the Gray Wolf, Grizzly Bear, Northern Bald Eagle, and American Peregrine Falcon. This BA determined that the previously proposed project would have no effect on Gray Wolves primarily because no viable populations were in the area. It also found that the area is unsuitable critical habitat for the Grizzly Bear, though some may travel through the area occasionally. No suitable breeding habitat for bald eagles or peregrine falcon was identified in the area.

The Canada lynx (*Lynx canadensis*) is a State and Federal-listed threatened species. Lynx usually live in mature forests with dense undergrowth but can also be found in more open forests, rocky areas or tundra. Lynx den in rough nests under rock ledges, fallen trees or shrubs. Lynx are primarily visual predators but also have well-developed hearing. They hunt mainly at night and snowshoe hare are their primary prey species. According to the *Management Recommendations for Washington's Priority Habitats and Species* (WDW 1991) lynx in Washington favor suitable habitats above 4500ft elevation (1000m). The elevation and habitat of the mill/TDF site are not suitable for either snowshoe hare or lynx.

During the field investigations several wildlife species were either directly observed or detected through sign, primarily bird and small mammal species (Table 7). Three snags were observed on-site, all exhibiting wildlife use by cavity nesters, insectivorous foragers, or both.

TABLE 7. WILDLIFE SPECIES OBSERVED AT TDF AND MILL SITE.

Tibble (Control of the control of th		
Parus atricapillus	Black-capped Chickadee	
Sitta canadensis	Red-breasted Nuthatch	
Accipiter cooperii	Cooper's Hawk	
Bonasa umbellus	Ruffed Grouse	
Colaptes aurateus	Northern flicker	
Pica pica	Black-billed magpie	
Sphyrapicus Thyroides	Williamson's sapsucker*	
Tamias amoenus	Yellow pine chipmunk	
Odocoileus hemionus	Mule Deer ¹	
Canis latrans	Coyote ²	

^{*-}Detected through characteristic excavations in snags.

¹⁻Carcass observed on-site.

²⁻Scat, burrow observed. Also evidence on deer carcass.

5 DISCUSSION

The mill/TDF site located outside of Chesaw is a grazed and mixed forest habitat area in agricultural use, typical of the land use throughout the Okanogan highlands. A stratified sampling survey of plant species in the area found a vegetative community of common native and introduced upland vegetation. The species composition on-site is likely skewed by active grazing and past timber harvest activities.

The grassy eastern and southern portions of the site are dominated by grass species common throughout the interior northwest. The Dry Gulch area has scattered forest patches with common mixed conifer and deciduous species characteristic of interior forest.

No Threatened, Endangered or Candidate plant or wildlife species were observed on the site. A wetlands reconnaissance revealed no wetlands were present on the site.

6 REFERENCES CITED

- Crown Resources Corporation. 2003. Initial Plan of Operations. Buckhorn Mountain Project. Submitted to USDA Forest Service and Washington State Department of Ecology. Crown Resources Corporation, Oroville, Washington.
- Hitchcock, C.L., and A. Cronquist. 1973. Flora of the Pacific Northwest. University of Washington Press, Seattle.
- Parish, R., R. Coupe, and D. Lloyd. 1996. Plants of southern interior British Columbia. Lone Pine Publishing, Vancouver.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1996. Guidelines for Conducting and Reporting Botanical Inventories for Federally Listed, Proposed and Candidate Plants. USDI, USFWS Sacramento, CA.
- Washington Department of Wildlife (WDW). 1991. Management Recommendations for Washington's Priority Habitats and Species. WDW, Olympia
- Washington Natural Heritage Program (WNHP). 2003. Washington Natural Heritage Information System List of Known Occurrences of Rare Plants in Okanogan County Washington. http://www.dnr.wa.gov/nhp/refdesk/lists

Appendix AWetlands Determination Worksheet

Appendix B

Comprehensive Plant Species List

Latin name Common name

HERBACEOUS PLANTS

Achillea millefolium Yarrow

Agropyron cristatum Crested wheatgrass

Agropyron repens Quackgrass

Agropyron spicatum Bluebunch wheatgrass

Agrostris alba Redtop

Antennaria microphylla Red pussytoes Antennaria neglecta Field pussytoes Aquilegia formosa Red columbine Meadow arnica Arnica chamissonis Arnica fulgens Orange arnica Artemesia frigida Pasture sage Aster foliaceus Leafy aster Bromus anomalus Nodding brome Bromus briziformis Rattlesnake brome

Bromus tectorum Cheatgrass
Bromus vulgaris Columbia brome

Calamagrostris canadensis Bluejoint
Calamagrostris rubescens Pinegrass

Campanula rotundifoliaCommon harebellCastilleja hispidaHarsh indian paintbrushCentaurea diffusaDiffuse knapweedCentaurea maculosaSpotted knapweedChrysothanmus viscidiflorusRabbit-brush

Cirsium vulgareBull thistleCynoglossum officinaleCommon hound's-tongueEpilobium ciliatumPurple-leaved willowherb

Erigeron subtrinervis Triple-nerved daisy

Erigonum heracleoides Parsnip-flowered buckwheat

Festuca occidentalisWestern fescueFragaria virginianaWild strawberryGalium borealeNorthern bedstrawGalium triflorumSweet-scented bedstraw

Geranium viscosissimum Sticky geranium Gilia aggregata Scarlet gilia

Grindelia squarrosa Curly-cup Gumweed
Hypericum perforatum Common St. John's-wort

Koeleria macrantha Junegrass

Lepidium densiflorum Prairie pepper-grass

Lupinus sericeusSilky lupinePhleum pratenseTimothyPlantago patagonicaIndian wheatPoa juncifoliaAlkali bluegrassPotentilla anserinaSilverweedRumex acetosellaFragile sour weed

Latin name	Common name	
Sisymbrium altissimum	Tall tumble-mustard	
Smilacina stellata	Star-flowered false Solomon's-seal	
Solidago canadensis	Canada goldenrod	
Solidago spathulata	Spikelet goldenrod	
Stipa comata	Needle-and-thread grass	
Stipa richardsonii	Spreading needlegrass	
Taraxacum officinale	Common dandelion	
Thlaspi arvense	Field pennycress	
Trifolium repens	White clover	
Tragopogon dubius	Yellow salsify	
Verbascum thapsus	Great mullein	
Viola canadensis	Canada violet	
WOODY PLANTS		
Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus	Green rabbit-brush	
Larix occidentalis	Western larch	
Mahonia aquifolium	Tall Oregon-grape	
Picea engelmannii	Engelmann spruce	
Pinus ponderosa	Ponderosa pine	
Populus tremuloides	Quaking aspen	
Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas fir	
Ribes cereum	Squaw currant	
Rosa woodsii	Prairie rose	
Symphoricarpos albas	Common snowberry	

Appendix CSite Photographs



NE from SW corner of site. Typical grazed patureland.



Floor of East Gulch area. Coarse woody debris is both blowdown and slash from timber harvest.



View South from knoll on site. This is typical of the habitat located in the TDF area.



Aspen canopy in reclaim pond area.